

Finding The Most Important Principal Component

[Nematrian website page: [ERMMTFindingTheMostImportantPrincipalComponent](#), © Nematrian 2015]

Suppose we have a set of n series of returns (or losses, ...). A principal component is a set of exposures (and a principal component series is a series of returns) corresponding to an eigenvector of the relevant $n \times n$ covariance matrix, V . Eigenvectors satisfy the vector equation $Vx = \lambda x$ for some scalar λ .

Typically principal components are identified in practice using suitable software packages designed to identify eigenvectors and eigenvalues, applied to the relevant covariance matrix, V , e.g. using using Nematrian web services functions that target principal components, i.e. [MnPrincipalComponents](#), [MnPrincipalComponentsSizes](#) and [MnPrincipalComponentsWeights](#).

However, for the first, i.e. most important, principal component there is a conceptually simpler approach as follows.

We note that any vector can be written as a combination of the eigenvectors of a matrix, and that these eigenvectors can be chosen to be orthonormal (if suitably chosen if some eigenvalues take the same value) so we can write any vector, a , of active positions as the sum of positions, a_i , in the relevant eigenvectors, q_n , i.e. as:

$$a = a_1 q_1 + \dots + a_n q_n$$

Then, $Y = a^T V a = a_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + a_n^2 \lambda_n$. If we order the eigenvectors (principal components) so that the most important ones are first, i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ then Y is maximised, subject to $a^T a = 1$, if $a_1 = \pm 1$ and $a_2 = a_3 = \dots = 0$. Thus, we can identify the most important principal component by reference to the set of positions of unit magnitude that exhibit the largest risk (here equated with ex-ante tracking error/variance/VaR).